MINIMALLY INVASIVE INTERVENTIONAL APPROACHES FOR TREATMENT OF FACET JOINT SYNDROME
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Abstract. The facet syndrome is a unilateral or bilateral pain originating from the intervertebral joint. Its frequency reaches 30% of the population of patients with low back pain. Inflammation plays a significant role in cartilage degeneration and the development of osteoarthritis, and also significantly contributes to swelling with overstretching of the joint capsule and irritation of nociceptive receptors. Minimally invasive interventional approaches play a significant role in the treatment of facet syndrome. This review systemizes the ways to treat the pain through corticosteroid infiltrations and interventional denervations of the intervertebral joint, which may be complementary techniques. The literature is analyzed and our experience is presented.
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INTRODUCTION

The facet syndrome is defined as pain originating from any structure of the intervertebral joint – the fibrous capsule, the synovial membrane, the hyaline cartilage and the bone [1]. Its frequency varies between 5 and 15% of the population of patients with low back pain, and according to some authors reaches as much as 30% [2-4]. Osteoarthritis and degenerative changes are the leading cause of complaints and, accordingly, occur more and more with age. Other common causes are trauma and stress on the intervertebral joint at work and in sports [5].

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS

In recent years, the joint facet syndrome has been generally accepted as a separate and specific nosological unit [6]. It has pathological, clinical and instrumental details that clearly define it. The clinical features of the facet syndrome include [7]: unilateral (20%) or bilateral (80%) low back pain; pain on compression on the affected intervertebral joints; stiffness of the paravertebral muscles; pseudoradicular pain in the buttocks/leg or shoulder/arm; provocation of complaints in flexion, rotation and to the greatest extent in extension; posture pain; limited mobility.

Inflammation plays a significant role in cartilage degeneration and the development of osteoarthritis, and also contributes significantly to joint capsule edema, receptor irritation, and often debilitating pain [8, 9].

DIAGNOSTICS

For imaging diagnostics, standard radiography is not very useful. The finding is negative in most cases, and it can only show osteoarthritis in an advanced stage but not an inflammatory reaction. Computed tomography (CT) and, to the greatest extent, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the means by which the degeneration of the joint facets can be established and their hypertrophy, arthritic changes and edema can be assessed in details. In addition, they can exclude other diseases such as the presence of disc pathology, infections, rheumatic diseases, fractures, tumors and others.

Of prognostic importance for the success of the interventional procedure is the presence of swelling around the intervertebral joint and exudate in it, which is evident from the enlarged joint space.

TREATMENT

The treatment of facet syndrome consists of the conservative and interventional approaches [10, 11]. The former are not the subject of this publication and we present the possibilities of minimally invasive intervention techniques.

In the context of the pathogenesis of the facet joint syndrome, corticosteroids suppress systemic inflammation and reduce neuropathic pain [12]. There are many dosage forms for application, including injectable (intravenous, intramuscular, topical), dermal creams and ointments, sprays, tablets, suppositories.
The application of corticosteroids intraarticularly is the first choice. Only when a sufficiently satisfactory effect cannot be achieved or in case of recurrence of complaints can an alternative method of joint denervation be approached, most often radiofrequency ablation or cryodenervation [13]. However, the so-called ablative procedures (radiofrequency or laser facet denervation, cryoablation, endoscopic neurectomy, etc.) carry the risk of loss of sensitivity in the area of innervation and even of triggering neuropathic pain.

Contraindications to interventional procedures are anticoagulant therapy, malignant tumors, infection, fractures and hypersensitivity to the drugs used [1]. Relative contraindications are many, but for the application of corticosteroids among them should be noted traumatic fractures, diabetes and advanced osteoporosis.

**Corticosteroid infiltration**

The local infiltrations with corticosteroid (intra-articular, tendon infiltrations, bursae) in the treatment of non-infectious limited inflammatory condition is routinely used in medical practice and provides relief of symptoms, promotes faster recovery and subsequent return to physical activity. Therefore, the leading approach influencing the inflammatory response and the resulting pain is the widely accepted intra-articular steroid infiltrations [15]. Intervertebral joint interventions are the second most common procedure in specialized pain treatment centers worldwide [16]. The medication block itself also has diagnostic value.

**Operative technique**

The operative technique consists in positioning a needle in the space of the intervertebral joint under ultrasound, CT or most often fluoroscopic control. Oblique, anterior-posterior and lateral projections are used. The anatomical landmarks are 2 cm on the sides of the midline, and for level L4-L5 it is dotted on the line between the two iliac ridges [17]. For level L5-S1, the puncture site is about 2.5 cm away from the midline. The depth to reach the goal is on average 3-5 cm.

The intra-articular facet infiltration is usually performed under fluoroscopic or CT control [13]. The main advantage of such an intervention is the security of the application but it increases the costs, requires significant hardware resources, takes more time and most of all exposes the patient to X-rays.

Several studies have compared procedures under fluoroscopic and ultrasound control regarding the lumbar facet joints. Similar results have been reported for the two approaches, with X-ray navigation not having a significant advantage in this case [18, 19]. On the other hand, a series of clinical studies compared intraarticular infiltrations performed by palpation and anatomical landmarks against ultrasound-guided interventions. All authors show that the results are significantly better in the second group of patients [1, 20-22]. The only advantage of applications without image guidance is that they do not require additional equipment and are fast to perform.

In conclusion, the use of ultrasound in the intervention is not associated with radiation exposure and additional health risks, increases efficiency by reducing the possibility of application in the wrong or suboptimal place, can be performed in an outpatient setting as a minimally invasive procedure, allows arbitrarily many projections and is cost effective [21, 23, 24].

**Anatomical features**

The facet joint is a true synovial joint that has a capacity of 1.0-2.0 ml of fluid detected by arthrography [25]. In different clinical trials of intra-articular infiltrations have been used different volumes, ranging from 0.25 ml [26] to 3.0 ml [27] and even 8.0 ml [28]. The most commonly used amount of the drug is 1.5 ml [29-35].

On the one hand, large volumes can lead to rupture of the joint capsule and unintentional spread of the medication in adjacent tissues and cause adverse systemic reactions (including positivity in anti-doping controls). On the other hand, the use of an insufficient amount may fail to achieve the desired therapeutic result. It is believed that in both extremes no effective response to pain is achieved [11, 36, 37].

In a clinical study, rupture of the intervertebral joint capsule was found to be very common and occur even in amounts between 1.0 and 2.0 ml [38]. In another, this phenomenon with drug extravasation occurs at volumes above 1.5 ml, which are injected into the joint [39]. Based on the above facts, the recommendation in a recently published guide by an international working group is that the amount should not exceed 1.5 ml [40].

**Pharmacological features**

The medications used are different, with no exact combination of them. Initial infiltration with a local anesthetic is recommended if analgesia is required or for diagnostic purposes. It is possible to add X-ray contrast at the discretion of the operator. The addition of a corticosteroid, among which triamcinolone acetonide and betamethasone are among the most widely used [1, 41], has been accepted in medical practice with a proven clinical effect.
The dose of the drug depends on the site of administration, the size of the joint, the degree of inflammation and the amount of synovial fluid, which can be estimated by magnetic resonance imaging. For example, for the knee and shoulder joint it is between 40 and 80 mg triamcinolone or 7 and 14 mg betamethasone, for small joints (including intervertebral), bursae and tendon infiltration — in half, and for soft tissues it is applied respectively 10-20 mg and 2-4 mg [42].

Numerous studies have addressed the pharmacokinetics of corticosteroids according to different routes of administration [43, 44]. Despite widespread use, the degree of systemic absorption and serum levels of various corticosteroids after intra-articular facet joint infiltration are not sufficiently known. The current literature on spinal corticosteroid use has focused on adverse drug interactions [45], fluctuations in serum glucose levels in patients with diabetes [46, 47], and effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [48].

The pharmacokinetics after lumbar epidural infiltration of triamcinolone acetonide are known [49]. The elimination half-life is significantly longer than other routes of administration, with a mean of 523 hours after a single application. It was associated, according to the authors, with the redistribution of the depot preparation in epidural adipose tissue. Similar factors may affect corticosteroid elimination following intraarticular facet joint injection.

In another study, intraarticular lumbar facet infiltration of triamcinolone acetonide 1 ml 40 mg and 0.5 ml bupivocaine 0.25% was applied to a total solution of 1.5 ml per joint, which is unlikely to cause capsule rupture and extravasation of the drug. One or two joints (total dose 80 mg) at the L4-L5 or L5-S1 level were infiltrated. Applications were performed under fluoroscopic control in accordance with best medical practice [31]. Serum concentrations of the main molecule and serum cortisol were studied before application and at fixed time points up to 42 days. Internal standard was also applied. The mean peak of triamcinolone acetonide Cmax of 3.6 mg/ml was detected 24 hours after administration, with a mean half-life of 213 hours (167-356 hours).

The half-life of triamcinolone acetonide after intra-articular infiltration deserves a comparison of the clearance of the drug from other body parts and fluids. For example, after oral and intravenous administration in humans it is 2.5 and 2.0 hours, respectively. Following intraarticular application to the knee, it varies between 77 and 154 hours [44]. It can be concluded that the half-life in intraarticular infiltration in intervertebral joints is between epidural application and those in large joints.

**INTERVENTIONAL APPROACHES**

Minimally invasive surgical techniques aimed at denervation of the zygopophyseal joint have been known for more than 50 years. Rees’s [50] initial experience with 2,000 percutaneous procedures is the basis for a rapidly growing enthusiasm for the effectiveness of minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of debilitating lumbar pain. He used a long scalpel which under X-ray control was inserted to the level of the intertransversal ligament, where the nerve structures responsible for the sensation of the intervertebral joint are located. Despite his reported impressive and difficult to reproduce 99.8% success rate, the procedure was associated with a significant number of postoperative hematomas. In order to reduce the frequency of this complication, radiofrequency facet denervation has been introduced in practice. The pioneer of this procedure was Shealy [5], who in 1976 published his observations on this significantly gentle technique.

The initially reported results coming from the individual pain treatment centers vary considerably, which provokes a more in-depth study of the anatomical substrate of these procedures. Bogduk et al. [51-53] perform systematic cadaveric dissections to localize the so-called articular nerves. The authors compare the objective finding with the obtained radiographs and specify the location of the nerve structures responsible for the sensitivity of the facet joint — the medial branches of the dorsal nerve. The authors with 2,000 percutaneous procedures is the basis for a rapidly growing enthusiasm for the effectiveness of minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of debilitating lumbar pain. He used a long scalpel which under X-ray control was inserted to the level of the intertransversal ligament, where the nerve structures responsible for the sensation of the intervertebral joint are located. Despite his reported impressive and difficult to reproduce 99.8% success rate, the procedure was associated with a significant number of postoperative hematomas. In order to reduce the frequency of this complication, radiofrequency facet denervation has been introduced in practice. The pioneer of this procedure was Shealy [5], who in 1976 published his observations on this significantly gentle technique.

The initially reported results coming from the individual pain treatment centers vary considerably, which provokes a more in-depth study of the anatomical substrate of these procedures. Bogduk et al. [51-53] perform systematic cadaveric dissections to localize the so-called articular nerves. The authors compare the objective finding with the obtained radiographs and specify the location of the nerve structures responsible for the sensitivity of the facet joint — the medial branches of the dorsal nerve. The authors with 2,000 percutaneous procedures is the basis for a rapidly growing enthusiasm for the effectiveness of minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of debilitating lumbar pain. He used a long scalpel which under X-ray control was inserted to the level of the intertransversal ligament, where the nerve structures responsible for the sensation of the intervertebral joint are located. Despite his reported impressive and difficult to reproduce 99.8% success rate, the procedure was associated with a significant number of postoperative hematomas. In order to reduce the frequency of this complication, radiofrequency facet denervation has been introduced in practice. The pioneer of this procedure was Shealy [5], who in 1976 published his observations on this significantly gentle technique.

The initially reported results coming from the individual pain treatment centers vary considerably, which provokes a more in-depth study of the anatomical substrate of these procedures. Bogduk et al. [51-53] perform systematic cadaveric dissections to localize the so-called articular nerves. The authors compare the objective finding with the obtained radiographs and specify the location of the nerve structures responsible for the sensitivity of the facet joint — the medial branches of the dorsal nerve. The authors with 2,000 percutaneous procedures is the basis for a rapidly growing enthusiasm for the effectiveness of minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of debilitating lumbar pain. He used a long scalpel which under X-ray control was inserted to the level of the intertransversal ligament, where the nerve structures responsible for the sensation of the intervertebral joint are located. Despite his reported impressive and difficult to reproduce 99.8% success rate, the procedure was associated with a significant number of postoperative hematomas. In order to reduce the frequency of this complication, radiofrequency facet denervation has been introduced in practice. The pioneer of this procedure was Shealy [5], who in 1976 published his observations on this significantly gentle technique.

**Operative technique**

The patient is placed on his stomach. If necessary, he can be in the conditions of moderate awake sedation. The level of the intervention is determined radiographically. The site where the cannula will be inserted is infiltrated with a local anesthetic. Under fluoroscopic or, less frequently, CT control the cannula is inserted in depth until it rests on bone – laterally from the zygopophyseal joint and on the upper surface of the transverse process of the lower vertebra. Upon reaching the goal, patients often report provoking “familiar pain”. Switch to electrical frequency stimulation to verify the target follows. When the electrode is positioned correctly, patients should confirm that it is in this segment that the pain is typical, regardless of whether the nature and intensity are the same. After a positive test, proceed to local
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The patient is placed on his stomach. If necessary, he can be in the conditions of moderate awake sedation. The level of the intervention is determined radiographically. The site where the cannula will be inserted is infiltrated with a local anesthetic. Under fluoroscopic or, less frequently, CT control the cannula is inserted in depth until it rests on bone – laterally from the zygopophyseal joint and on the upper surface of the transverse process of the lower vertebra. Upon reaching the goal, patients often report provoking “familiar pain”. Switch to electrical frequency stimulation to verify the target follows. When the electrode is positioned correctly, patients should confirm that it is in this segment that the pain is typical, regardless of whether the nature and intensity are the same. After a positive test, proceed to local
infiltration with anesthetic (2 ml of 1% lidocaine or 0.5% bupivacaine). The selected radiofrequency ablation protocol is applied.

If there is severe excruciating pain during the procedure, it should be discontinued and an anesthetic added. In the presence of pain radiating dermatome type to the lower extremities or motor manifestations of the same the intervention is stopped and the electrodes are repositioned. Irradiation to the buttocks and back of the thighs is permissible if it is not of a dermatome nature, and in 99% of cases it is exhausted to the level of the popliteal fossa. After the procedure, patients are instructed to remain at rest for 3 hours, after which their full mobilization and discharge is possible.

Facet joint denervation protocols

Today, three main protocols are used in radiofrequency facet denervation:

1. Conventional radiofrequency ablation. The active tip of the electrode is heated in a controlled manner by the continuously supplied radio frequency waves to temperatures of 70-90oC. The goal is to create a lesion that engages the nerve structures that conduct pain signals. The damage created is small in volume and is limited by the size of the active tip of the electrode used, not reaching a depth greater than that of the tip of the electrode.

2. Pulse radiofrequency ablation. It is an alternative to the conventional radiofrequency lesion. It is based on intermittent, short pulses of high voltage electric current, which creates local heating to temperatures up to 42oC. This reduces the risk of damage to adjacent tissues. The theoretical advantage over the conventional protocol is the ability to make a more extensive lesion in nerve structures without causing significant tissue trauma. However, there is still no definitive consensus for a better end result [54].

3. Radiofrequency ablation with cooling. A protocol equivalent to conventional radiofrequency ablation using a cannula with possible additional cooling. This allows the tip of the electrode to reach a temperature of 60-75oC for periods of up to 150 seconds, as a computer monitors and controls the cooling of the surrounding tissues. With this protocol it is possible to create lesions with a diameter of 8-10 mm in depth from the tip of the electrode, reducing the risk of coagulation and burning of adjacent tissues. Despite the more complex protocol and the increased cost of the procedure compared to conventional radiofrequency ablation, McCormick et al. report a lack of statistically significant benefits in terms of pain relief [55].

It is worth noting that these protocols have not undergone significant changes in recent decades, and evolution has been observed mainly in the equipment used – generators and electrodes. Since the beginning of the 21st century, as an alternative to radiofrequency denervation, other methods for minimally invasive treatment of facet syndrome have been introduced:

1. Cryodenervation. The operative technique corresponds to that used in radio frequency procedures. In this type of intervention, however, a closed probe connected to a cooled carbon dioxide tank is introduced. The local hypothermia created leads to a reversible conduction block in the target nerve structures.

2. Laser facet ablation. A tube is inserted through a small skin incision above the level of the symptomatic intervertebral joint under fluoroscopic control, through which a laser is inserted. The procedure aims to create a lesion of the nerve endings at the symptomatic level and thermodestructive debridement of the pathologically altered zygapophyseal joint.

Regardless of the chosen protocol, it should be noted that unmyelinated C-type fibers with a relation to the pain innervation of the zygapophyseal joint have
the ability to regenerate, which may require repetition of the intervention in case of recurrence of symptoms.

**RESULTS**

There are 6 large randomized clinical trials comparing the effect of radiofrequency denervation procedures with a placebo procedure. Five of the six showed a statistically significant reduction in pain in the group receiving the actual procedure [54, 56-59]. In one of them there is a correlation between a positive preoperative drug block (so-called diagnostic blockade) and a good interventional effect (P < 0.05), and in the absence of effect of the diagnostic block there is an unsatisfactory result of the denervation procedure [59]. Only one of the six studies did not find a statistically significant difference between the two groups – a mean pain reduction of 0.5 points according to VAS in the group selected for real intervention and 0.6 points reduction in the placebo group (60). As already mentioned, there is no significant therapeutic difference between the different protocols of radiofrequency denervation, at the expense of technological and financial burden [54, 55].

A large prospective randomized facet cryoablation study by Birkenmaier et al. also showed a statistically significant pain reduction of 50% or more (P < 0.0001) compared to control groups [61]. The advantages of this type of procedures over radiofrequency are expressed in the practical absence of complications in cryoablation compared to ~1% of complications reported in radiofrequency procedures – local pain lasting less than 2 weeks (0.5%) and one lasting more than 2 weeks (0.5%) [62].

There are still no well-structured studies on the efficacy and safety of laser facet ablation.

**DISCUSSION**

The efficacy of drug peri- and intrafacet joint infiltrations and minimally invasive surgical interventions is well documented. Comparative analyzes show relatively similar results in performing any of the modalities (13,63). The basis for a good result, as in any surgical procedure, is the correct selection of patients. Predictors of success or failure of this type of procedure are available, such as the most frequently cited: duration of lumbar pain ≥ 3 m, reduction in pain symptoms by at least 50% with applied local drug (diagnostic) block, lack of other pathology that can to lead to secondary overload and suffering of the facet-joint apparatus (disc herniation, spondylolisthesis, neoplasms, etc.).

The procedure is also applicable for pain symptoms arising from the sacroiliac joint. Two randomized trials from the United States showed a statistically significant superiority of the procedure over placebo intervention (64,65). In these two studies, Cohen and Patel applied cooling radiofrequency ablation to create a larger lesion area required for adequate neuroconductive block of the large sacroiliac joint.

There is no well-structured evidence in the literature to assess the duration of therapeutic effect, but the five randomized trials that reported a positive outcome evaluated efficacy up to 3, 6, and 12 months [26, 54, 56, 57, 59]. The study of Tekin et al. has the longest follow-up period, reporting a stability at the end of follow-up of 1 year [57].

There is reason to believe that in case of a good result of a radio frequency procedure and subsequent recurrence of complaints, it is possible to repeat the procedure, and the results of retrospective studies show efficacy corresponding to that of the primary procedure [66, 67]. It should be borne in mind that these are retrospective analyzes and not randomized clinically controlled studies, respectively they have a lower value of evidence.

Cryodenervation creates a reversible conductive block along the course of the respective nerves, which makes the procedure anatomically conservative, but the reported results are more limited at the end of the first year of follow-up [61]. Despite this inadequacy of the procedure due to the practical lack of associated complications, it is possible to repeat it many times. There are currently no analyzes to investigate the effect of re-cryodenervation.

In laser facet joint ablation, in addition to neurolysis, destruction of the pathologically altered anatomical structures responsible for the pain genesis is achieved. This suggests that this procedure would have the most lasting effect on pain. At present, however, there are no studies that objectively assess the therapeutic effect, its duration, the possible complications and the safety profile of the procedure, which is why it is still considered experimental in the treatment of lumbar and sacroiliac pain.

**CONCLUSION**

Facet joint drug blocks and minimally invasive percutaneous neurolysis are easy to apply, rapid, inexpensive, and effective interventions that can be performed in an outpatient setting. They can also be considered as adjuvants to each other. More experience and well-structured randomized trials are needed to evaluate and compare the effect of the relatively new laser facet ablation on established approaches.


